

CS3300 Introduction to Software Engineering Lecture 18: Test Driven Development; Software Refactoring

Dr. Nimisha Roy ► nroy9@gatech.edu

Slides adapted from Alessandro Orso

Transition from Waterfall to Agile has made testing easier and more approachable



From Waterfall....

... To Agile



- Blackbox testing allows test cases to be built before implementation
- Agile (XP specifically) introduces Test Driven Development as a solution to more testing confidence and motivation



What is Test Driven Development (TDD)

- Test is written *before* the class to be tested, and the developer writes unit testing code for nearly *all* production code.
- Write test code
 - Code that fulfills requirements
- Write functional code
 - Working code that fulfills requirements
- Refactor
 - Clean working code that fulfills requirements

TDD Basics – Unit Testing

Red, Green, Refactor





Why TDD?

- Imposes developers' discipline
- Provides incremental specification
- Avoid regression errors
- Allows for changing with confidence

Consider writing a program to score the game of bowling

You might start with the following test

```
public class TestGame extends TestCase {
    public void testOneThrow() {
    Game g = new Game();
    g.addThrow(5);
    assertEquals(5, g.getScore());
    }
```

When you compile this program, the test "fails" because..

the Game class does not yet exist.

But:

You have defined two methods on the class that you want to use

https://home.cs.colorado.edu/~kena/classes/5828/f16/lectures/22-tdd.pdf

Now you would write the Game class

```
The code now compiles but the test
will still fail: getScore() returns 0 not
5
```

```
public class Game {
    public void addThrow(int pins) {
    }
    public int getScore() {
        return 0;
    }
}
```

• In Test-Driven Design, we take small, simple steps

• So, we get the test case to compile before we get it to pass

Once we confirm that the test still fails, we would then write the simplest code to make the test case pass; that would be

```
public class Game {
    public void addThrow(int pins) {
    }
    public int getScore() {
        return 5;
    }
```

The test case now passes

But this test case is not very helpful

```
Let's add a new test case to enable progress
```

```
public class TestGame extends TestCase {
    public void testOneThrow() {
        Game g = new Game();
        g.addThrow(5);
        assertEquals(5, g.getScore());
```

. . .

```
public void testTwoThrows() {
   Game g = new Game();
   g.addThrow(5);
   g.addThrow(4);
   assertEquals(9, g.getScore());
}
```

The first test passes, but the second case fails (since $9 \neq 5$)

- We have duplication of information between the first test and the Game Class
 - In particular, the number 5 appears in both places
- This duplication occurred because we were writing the simplest code to make the test pass
 - Now, in the presence of the second test case, this duplication does more harm than good
- So, we must now **refactor** the code to remove this duplication

```
public class Game {
       private int score = 0;
       public void addThrow(int pins) {
              score += pins;
       }
       public int getScore() {
              return score;
       }
```

Both tests pass now.

Progress!

But now, to make additional progress, we add another test case to the TestGame class

public void testSimpleSpare() {

Game g = new Game()

g.addThrow(3); g.addThrow(7); g.addThrow(3); assertEquals(13, g.scoreForFrame(1)); assertEquals(16, g.getScore()); We're back to the code not compiling due to scoreForFrame()

• We'll need to add a method body for this method and give it the simplest implementation that will make all three of our tests cases pass

Refactoring

What is Refactoring?



Program

Refactored Program

Applying transformations to a program, with the goal of improving its design without changing its functionality

Goal: Keep program readable, understandable, and maintainable. Avoid small problems soon.

Key Feature: Behavior Preserving- make sure the program works after each step; typically small steps

Behavior Preserving





Test the code

In agile we already have lot of test cases, rerun before and after refactoring)

But beware: No guarantees!



?

Why can't testing guarantee that a refactoring is behavior preserving?

- [] Because testing and refactoring are different activities
- Secause testing is inherently incomplete
- [] Because testers are often inexperienced



Program Domain

Test Cases

Why Refactoring?



Requirements Change – different design needed

Design needs to be improved – so that new features can be added; design patterns are often a target

Sloppiness by programmers – copy & paste for a new method

Refactoring often has the effect of making a design more flexible

Slide adapted from Alessandro Orso

Have you used Refactoring Before?



Even renaming a class is a refactoring! (albeit a trivial one)

Many Refactorings in Fowler's Book

- Add parameter
- Change Association
- Reference to Value
- Value to Reference
- Collapse Hierarchy
 - Consolidate Conditionals
 - Procedures to Objects
 - Decompose Conditionals
 - Encapsulate Collection

- Encapsulate Downcast
- Encapsulate Field
- Extract Method
- Extract Class
- Inline Class
- Form Template Method
- Hide delegate
- Hide method
- Inline temp

Collapse Hierarchy

If a superclass and a subclass are too similar

=> Merge Them







Many Refactorings in Fowler's Book

- Add parameter
- Change Association
- Reference to Value
- Value to Reference
- Collapse Hierarchy
- Consolidate Conditionals
- Procedures to Objects
- Decompose Conditionals
- Encapsulate Collection

- Encapsulate Downcast
- Encapsulate Field
- Extract Method
- Extract Class
- Inline Class
- Form Template Method
- Hide delegate
- Hide method
- Inline temp

Consolidate Conditional Expression

If there are a set of conditionals with the same results

=> Combine and extract them

```
double disabilityAmount(){
   if (seniority<2)
       return &;
    (f (months Disabled > 12)
       return ø;
    if (isPartTime)
        return ø;
    11 compute disability amount
 ર
```



Many Refactorings in Fowler's Book

- Add parameter
- Change Association
- Reference to Value
- Value to Reference
- Collapse Hierarchy
- Consolidate Conditionals
- Procedures to Objects
- Decompose Conditionals
- Encapsulate Collection

- Encapsulate Downcast
- Encapsulate Field
- Extract Method
- Extract Class
- Inline Class
- Form Template Method
- Hide delegate
- Hide method
- Inline temp

Decompose Conditionals

If a conditional statement is particularly complex (can tell what but obscures why) \Rightarrow Extract methods from conditions, give the right name to the extracted method \Rightarrow Modify THEN and ELSE part of the conditional



Many Refactorings in Fowler's Book

- Add parameter
- Change Association
- Reference to Value
- Value to Reference
- Collapse Hierarchy
- Consolidate Conditionals
- Procedures to Objects
- Decompose Conditionals
- Encapsulate Collection

- Encapsulate Downcast
- Encapsulate Field
- Extract Method
- Extract Class
 - Inline Class
 - Form Template Method
 - Hide delegate
 - Hide method
 - Inline temp

Extract Class

If a class is doing the work of two classes

⇒ Create a new class and move the relevant fields/methods (high cohesion, low coupling)





Many Refactorings in Fowler's Book

- Add parameter
- Change Association
- Reference to Value
- Value to Reference
- Collapse Hierarchy
- Consolidate Conditionals
- Procedures to Objects
- Decompose Conditionals
- Encapsulate Collection

- Encapsulate Downcast
- Encapsulate Field
- Extract Method
- Extract Class
- Inline Class
- Form Template Method
- Hide delegate
- Hide method
- Inline temp

Inline Class

If a class is not doing much during system evolution

 \Rightarrow Move its features into another class and delete this one



Many Refactorings in Fowler's Book

- Add parameter
- Change Association
- Reference to Value
- Value to Reference
- Collapse Hierarchy
- Consolidate Conditionals
- Procedures to Objects
- Decompose Conditionals
- Encapsulate Collection

- Encapsulate Downcast
- Encapsulate Field
- Extract Method
- Extract Class
- Inline Class
- Form Template Method
- Hide delegate
- Hide method
- Inline temp

Extract Method

If there is a cohesive code fragment in a large method

=> Create a method using that code fragment, replace code fragment with a call to the method

```
uoid printOwing(){

...

system.out.printen("name:"+ namet

"address:"+ address);

...

System.out.printen ("amount owned"+

amount)

3
```

Refactoring in IDEs

Most IDEs have a set of built-in refactoring tools

The Refactor menu includes:
Rename class/method/variable
Change a method signature
Move a class to a new package
Extract a method or variable
Extract a method parameter
Create a new constant
Inline a method

<pre>ss.MainActivity.:.AppCompatActivity(), Navigation</pre>				
<pre>override fun onCreate(savedInstanceState: Bund super.onCreate(savedInstanceState) setContentView(R.layout.activity_main)</pre>				
	Refactor			
*****	Rename Rename File	압F6	e(<mark>this,</mark> du	
	Change Signature	ЖF6		
	Move	F6	oggle)	
	Copy	F5		
	Safe Delete	жx	dListener	
	Extract			
	Inline	℃ ₩Ν	nav_sessic	
	Pull Members Up Push Members Down		ransaction	
}	Migrate			
over	Remove Unused Resources Migrate App To AppCompat Add RTL Support Where Pose	sible	avityCompa vityCompa	
	<pre>super.onBackPressed()</pre>			

Safe delete

https://medium.com/android-testing-daily/refactoring-28b8a4a07d42





- Symptoms that indicate deeper problems in the code.
- Should be able to sense/sniff it.
- Not bugs, indicate weakness in design and hence maintenance in code.

Refactoring Industry Standards – Industry Survey

- Small-scale (floss) refactoring is common ; performed by a single developer; manual
- Multiple Large-scale refactoring also common; takes months; sometimes adding new features becomes priority

Reference

Article



Figure 4: Business reasons for large-scale refactoring.

Refactoring Industry Standards – Industry Survey



Figure 5: Technical reasons for large-scale refactoring.

Clear need for better tools and an opportunity for refactoring researchers to make a difference in industry **Top Tools**: ReSharper (.Net), Jdeodrant (Eclipse Plugin), Jetbrains Rider (.NET), Jetbrains IntelliJ IDEA (Java), Spring Tool Suite, Stepsize



Figure 7: Categories of tools used to support refactoring.

Refactoring Industry Standards – My Survey

- "Don't touch code if it is working"
- Jetbrains integrated in Visual Studio, paid tools integrated
- Gives helpful prompts while writing code
- When refactoring?
 - Approving other developers PR- suggest floss refactoring
 - LSR automated code quality check tools
- SonarQube code quality inspection tool before completing a PR- minimum of B
- Based on many different rules for different language (650 for Java) covering code smells, test coverage, code security.
- Final verdict: automated tools are very important since there is no time to make changes manually, without prompt , or compulsory quality checks

Refactoring Industry Tools

- IDEs IntelliJ/VS Code
- <u>SonarQube</u>
- <u>SonarLint</u> free IDE plugin for real-time refactoring
- <u>RefactorFirst</u> Java source code analyzer
- <u>Rope</u> Python open source library
- <u>Piranha</u> Open source tool to delete stale code
- <u>Refraction</u> AI based refactoring.

Irror_mod = modifier_ob mirror object to mirror irror_mod.mirror_object Peration = "MIRROR_X": Irror_mod.use_X = True Irror_mod.use_Y = False Operation = "MIRROR_Y Irror_mod.use_X = False Operation == "MIRROR_Z" Operation == "MIRROR_Z" Operation == "MIRROR_Z"

election at the end -ad _ob.select= 1 er_ob.select=1 ntext.scene.objects.action "Selected" + str(modifient irror_ob.select = 0 bpy.context.selected_ob ata.objects[one.name].selected_ob ata.objects[one.name].selected_ob

pint("please select exaction

x mirror to the selecte ject.mirror_mirror_x" ror X"

context):
context.active_object is not

When to refactor?

- When you find you have to **add a feature** to a program, and the program's code is not structured in a convenient way to add the feature, first refactor the program to make it easy to add the feature, then add the feature.
- During a **code review**: may be the last chance to tidy up the code before it become
- Every step of TDD

election at the end -add ob.select= 1 r ob.select=1 ntext.scene.objects.active "Selected" + str(modifie rror ob.select = 0 bpy.context.selected_ob Inta.objects[one.name].sel

int("please select exactly

OPERATOR CLASSES -----

mirror to the selecter ect.mirror_mirror_x context):
 context.active_object is not

When not to **Refactor**?

 When code is broken (not a way to fix code)

• When a deadline is close

• When there is no reason to!

modifier_ob. mirror object to mirror mirror_mod.mirror_object peration == "MIRROR_X": irror_mod.use_x = True irror_mod.use_y = False lrror_mod.use_z = False operation == "MIRROR Y" irror_mod.use_x = False lrror_mod.use_y = True irror_mod.use_z = False **operation** == "MIRROR Z" rror_mod.use_x = False rror_mod.use_y = False rror_mod.use_z = True